COPY

Driginal

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ex rel

C1-96-19-08L-18

ENRIQUE HENRY GARZA
Full name & prison number 78258-018
(if any) of Petitioner

FILED SCRANTON

JUN 2 2 2001

DONALD ROMINE, WARDEN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PENTENTIARY

Name of Respondent

: CVOI-1

(PERSONS IN FEDERAL CUSTODY)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Instructions - READ CAREFULLY

- I. In order for this petition to receive consideration by the District Court, it shall be in writing (legibly handwritten or typewritten), and the unsworn declaration signed by the petitioner. It shall set forth in concise form the answers to each applicable question. If necessary, petitioner may finish his answer to a particular question on the reverse side of the page or on an additional blank page. Petitioner shall make it clear to which question any such continued answer refers.
- 2. Any false statement of a material fact therein may serve as the basis of prosecution and conviction for perjury. Petitioners should therefore exercise care to assure that all answers are true and correct.
- 3. When the petition is completed, the <u>original</u> and three <u>copies</u> shall be mailed to the Clerk, United States District Court, <u>Middle District of Pennsylvania</u>, P.O. Rox 1148, Scranton, Pa. 18501.

If you are unable to pay the \$5.00 filing fee for this action, you may petition the court to proceed in forma pauperis. Two blank petitions for this purpose are included in this packet. One copy should be filed with your complaint; the other copy is for your records.

1.	Place of detention USP Lewisburg PO Box 1000 Lewisburg PA. 17837
2.	Name and location of court which imposed sentence us pisteict
÷	Court Middle District of Florida 80 N. Hughey Ave Oflando Florida
3.	The indictment number or numbers (if known) upon which and the offense or offenses for which sentence was imposed:
	Haran 21 USC Sec. 841(a)(1). Count DNE
	(b) 21 usc sec. 84162(1) 18 usc 2 count six
	(c) 21 USC 846
4.	The date upon which sentence was imposed and the terms of the sentence:
	(a) 07-17-96 Counts One, Six, Seven
	(b) To a Term of 480 months
	(c)
5.	Check whether a finding of guilty was made
	(a) after a plea of guilty
	(b) After a plea of not guilty X
	(c) after a plea of nolo contendere
6.	If you were found guilty after a plea of not guilty, check whether that finding was made by
	(a) a jury χ
	(b) a judge without a jury
7.	Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction or the imposition of sentence?

and the second s

·	name of each court to which you appealed:
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	US Circuit Court of Appeals 11th Cir.
11.	
111.	한 발발을 보고 있는 것이 있는 사람들이 가는 것이 가다면 보고 하는 것이 하는 것이 되었다. 그는 것이 사람들이 되었다.
(b) the	result in each such court to which appealed: AFFIRMED
11.	
111.	
aj Alvija, je ji. Pengangan Sprint	entre de la companya de la companya Angles de la companya de la company
(c) the	date of each such result:
1.	
11.	
111.	
(d) if	known, citations of any written opinions or orders
ent	ered pursuant to such results:
. i.	No opinions cited, just causes be and
11.	the same are hereby Affirmed
111.	
State of tion th	oncisely the grounds on which you base your allega- at you are being held in custody unlawfully:
(a) <u>S</u>	ee memorandum attached Effective assistance of Coursel in violation of
in. Hvv	effective assistance of Counsel in violation of sixth Amendment guarantees.
(b) <u>5</u>	ee memorandum attached
Pe	titioner's Due Process rights Protects against conviction to the process rights protects against conviction to the converse for the process of the process o
ne	Left upon proof beyond a reasonable doubt of every for ccessary to constitute a crime with which he is char
UV\r	titioner was charged with 841(a)(1) 846 and was so ler 841(b)(1)(A) and 846 in violation of recent Appre
a the	ere no quantity was charged or froven to the jury

- (b) continued District court erred in enhancing Petitioners Base offense Level buff) Levels for organizer/Leader Role in offense.

 District Court erred in attributing quantity of drugs of 40 pounds to Petitioner at sentencing, when District Court committed legal error in attributing entire quantity of conspiracy to Petitioner Based on mere fact of conspiracy charge, and committed clear error when evidence Demonstrated that Petitioner did not agree to or pasticipate in transaction totalling entire amount.
 - (c) Petitioner was sentenced under the career criminal as defined uss & & 48.1. These priors do not qualify under serious drug crimes. As needed to be use as predicate priors. Petitioner is not challenging the priors, Petitioner is Challenging the use of unqualified priors to Charge for Career offender under serious Drug offense that are not found to Fit the meaning of serious drug crimes. Category VI should not apply. State concisely and in the same order the facts which support each of the grounds set out in (9):
 - (a) see attached Memorandums For (a) (1), (2), (3)
 - (b) See attached Memorandums (b)(1)(2)
 OF Law
 - (c) See attached Memorandums (c)

11.	motions Code, o	u filed previous petitions for habeas corpus under Section 2255 of Title 28, United States rany other applications, petitions or motions spect to this conviction:
	100	·
12.	If you	answered "yes" to (11), list with respect to each n, motion or application
energia Tradición Visitado de la composición de	(a) the	specific nature thereof:
		Motion under 28 USC 2255 Case NO. 96-019
	11.	-CR-ORL-18 (98-824-Civ-ORL-18
	111.	
	(b) the	name and location of the court in which each filed.
		US District Court Middle District of Florida
	11.	ORIANDO DIVISION
	iii.	
	(c) the	disposition thereof.
. :	1.	2255 Motion devied dismissed with
	and the second s	préjudice
	(d) the	date of each such disposition:
	i.	3/17/99

(e)	If known,	citations	of any	written	oninione	02	ondona
	entered p	ursuant to	each s	uch disp	osition:	O1	cruers

i.	See Exhibit & A	•
11.		
11.		

- 13. If you did not file a motion under Section 2255 of Title 28 United States Code, or if you filed such a motion and it was denied, state why your remedy by way of such motion is inadequate or ineffective to test the legality of your detention:
 - (a) The 11th Cir, Following the Seventh Cir, approach has taken a more restrictive view. In workford v. Scott, 177 F3d 1236, 1242 (11th Cir 1999), the Court held that resort to \$ 2241 is appropriate only where: (1) the claim is based upon a vetroactively applicable Supreme Court decision; (2) the (b) holding of that Supreme Court decision establishes the petitioner was (see Reverse side For continued (a) response

(see Reverse side for 13 (b))

- (c) EIEVENTH Cir. will not entertain a Second or Sucessive 2255 under Teaque v. Lane 489 US. 288 (1989)
- (3rd Cis. West V. Vaughn. 204 F3d 53,66, CRL 513 will apply retroactively in absence of an express Rulingon Retroativity.

 14. Has any ground set forth in (9) been previously presented to this or any other federal court by way of petition for habeas corpus, motion under Section 2255 of Title 28, United States Code, or any other petition, motion or application: yes.

15. If you answered "yes" to (14), identify
(a) which grounds have been previously presented:
1. ineffective assistance of coursel
11. Failure to seek evidentiary hearing after trial, but
111. herore Kentencing for Petitioner regarding role in conspirace
(b) the proceedings in which each ground was raised: 1. 28 USC 2255
16. Were you represented by an attorney at any time during the course of (a) your arraignment and plea: ues
(b) your trial, if any: <u>ues</u>
(c) your sentencing:
(d) your appeal, if any, from the judgment of conviction or the imposition of sentence?
yes
(e) preparation, presentation or consideration of any petitions, motions or applications with respect to this conviction, which you filed:
No.
17. If you answered "yes" to one or more parts of (16), list
(a) the name and address of each attorney who represented you:
1. Jack T. Edmund, Esq. 423 Pool Branch Road
Fort Meade, FL. 33841

and the second of the second o

11.	Clarence William Counts IR. Ass Federal Rubic Defende
	proceedings at which each such attorney represented
1.	[Mr Counts JR for Arraignment]
11.	Jack T Edmund Trial, sentencina.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	and Appeal (Mr Steve Kackley trial co-coursel'
you	you are seeking leave to proceed in forma pauperis, have completed the unsworn declaration setting forth the quired information (see instructions, page 1 of this form).
	Executed at <u>USP Lewisburg</u> <u>Lewisburg</u> <u>PA.</u> Name of institution, city, state
	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
	Executed on 6-13-0/ Date
	Signature of Petitioner

(see instructions, page 1 of this form)

FORMA PAUPERIS DECLARATION

I, Encique Casza , do hereby certify that I am a citizen of the United States of America that because of my poverty I am unable to pay the costs of said suit or action; that I am unable to give security for the same: and that I believe I am entitled to the redress I seek in said suit or action.

I have in my prison account \$ 2,5000

Executed at USP PO Box 1000 Lewisburg, PM 17837
Name of Institution, City, and State

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 6-13-01
Date

Signature of Petitioner